## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND DIVISION

| UNITED FINANCIAL CASUALTY      | § |                                |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| COMPANY,                       | § |                                |
|                                | § |                                |
| Plaintiff,                     | § |                                |
|                                | § |                                |
| <b>v.</b>                      | § |                                |
|                                | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 7:19-cv-00007 |
| SPIRIT OF THE LION LLC, RAPIDO | § | CIVIL ACTION NO. 7.13-CV-00007 |
| MVD SERVICES, INC., LOUIS      | § |                                |
| BARRAZA YANEZ, GUTIERREZ       | § |                                |
| TRUCKING LLC, MIGUEL HERRERA-  | § |                                |
| RIVAS and RYAN MARTINEZ,       | § |                                |
|                                | § |                                |
| Defendants.                    | § |                                |

## ORIGINAL PETITION FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

United Financial Casualty Company (hereinafter "Progressive") petitions this Court for declaratory judgment concerning commercial auto liability coverage for a highway accident on April 3, 2018. As grounds for the declaratory judgment relief requested herein, Progressive would show as follows:

## I. JURISDICTION

- 1.0. Original subject matter jurisdiction exists for this action under 28 U.S.C. 1332(a)(2) as the claims and controversies arise between citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000.00 exclusive of interests and costs.
- 1.1. Complete diversity of citizenship exists between plaintiffs and defendants. Plaintiff United Financial Casualty Company ("Progressive") is an insurance company formed and existing under the law of Ohio, with its principal corporate office in Ohio. Plaintiff is a citizen of Ohio.

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 2 of 7

1.2. Defendant Spirit of the Lion LLC (hereinafter "Spirit") is named insured under

the Commercial Auto policy in question. Spirit is a New Mexico limited liability company which

maintains its principal corporate offices in Lovington, New Mexico. Spirit is a citizen of New

Mexico for purposes of diversity jurisdiction.

1.3. Defendant Luis Barraza Yanez, also known as Luis Angel Barraza-Yanez

("Yanez") is an individual who was last known to be residing in Mexico. On information and

belief Yanez is a citizen of Mexico. When working in the United States Yanez resides in Odessa,

Ector County Texas. Yanez was the driver of the commercial motor vehicle in question. For

diversity purposes Yanez is either a citizen of Mexico or a citizen of Texas.

1.4. Rapido MVD Services Inc. "Rapido" is a New Mexico corporation. Rapido is a

citizen of New Mexico for purposes of diversity jurisdiction. Rapido engages in business in the

State of Texas but does not maintain a regular place of business in the state and has not

designated an agent for service of process in the State of Texas. Pursuant to 17.044 defendant

Rapido is deemed to have appointed the Texas Secretary of State as its agent, upon which service

of process may be had in this action.

1.5. Gutierrez Trucking LLC ("Gutierrez Trucking") is a New Mexico limited liability

company which maintains its principal business office in New Mexico and is a citizen of New

Mexico for purposes of diversity jurisdiction. Rapido is duly authorized to and engaging in

business under the State of Texas, but who does not maintain a regular place of business in the

state and has not designated an agent for service of process in the State of Texas. Therefore, it

must be deemed under Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code, Section 17.044 that Defendant

Gutierrez Trucking LLC has appointed the Secretary of the State of Texas as its agent, upon

which service of process may be had in this action. Duplicate copies of this petition and citation

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 3 of 7

shall be served upon the Secretary of the State of Texas, and a copy of this petition and citation

shall be mailed by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, by the Secretary of the State of

Texas to: Resident Agent Patrick B McMahon, 311 N First St, Lovington, New Mexico 88260.

1.6. Defendants Miguel Herrera-Rivas ("Herrera Rivas") and Ryan Martinez

("Martinez") are individuals residing in Ector County, Texas and are residents and citizens of

Texas. Herrera-Rivas and Martinez are personal injury plaintiffs in a pending tort action arising

out of the accident in question styled Miguel Herrera-Rivas and Ryan Martinez v. Luis Angel

Barraza-Yanez et al, Cause No. 18-07-22531-CVR (143<sup>rd</sup> District Court, Reeves County, Texas)

(July 25, 2018). Herrera Rivas and Martinez are represented by counsel who is being provided a

courtesy copy of the Complaint and it is anticipated these defendants will waive summons and

appear under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.

II. VENUE

The auto liability coverage issue presented arises out of a highway accident in Reeves

County, Texas on April 3 2018 wherein one or more of the defendants were operating the

commercial motor vehicle in the service of hauling frac water for a company known as Desert

Oil Services LLC. Venue is therefore proper in the Western District of Texas.

III. GROUNDS FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

3.0. This underlying claim arises from a three-vehicle accident that occurred in Pecos,

Reeves County, Texas on or about April 3, 2018. When the accident took place Yanez was driving a

red 2004 Freightliner (VIN No. 1FUJA6CGX41M14655) to haul contaminated water from a well

location in New Mexico near County Road 720 known as Cottonwood to a disposal site called "High

Roller Environmental, LLC" in Pecos, Texas. The accident occurred in Texas on U.S. Highway 285.

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 4 of 7

3.1. Yanez was hauling an empty tanker trailer northbound on US 285 when blowing dust

reduced visibility and caused Yanez to collide with a southbound 2007 Peterbilt. The impact

propelled Yanez vehicle backwards into a 2014 Ford traveling behind him. Mr. Yanez disputes that

he went left of center, but the police report appears to be adverse to his version of the events. There

are three injured claimants and two property damage claims asserted in connection with the loss.

3.2. Yanez was driving in scope and course of employment with Spirit of Texas which

had contracted with Desert Oilfield Services to move the frac water. Spirit had been doing this work

continuously for several months. Yanez had already completed two round trips that morning and was

in transit back to the Cottonwood site to pick up a third load when the accident occurred

approximately two miles from the disposal site where Yanez had just made his second delivery.

Yanez' accident occurred at approximately 11:00 a.m. and less than half way through his scheduled

work shift from lasted from 6:30 a.m. to 6:00 in the evening.

3.4. Progressive had issued to Spirit a Commercial Auto policy which was in effect at the

time of the accident however, Progressive's policy is a non-trucking use or "bobtail" policy which

provides no coverage for vehicles while being used in commercial pursuits. Specifically, the policy

expressly excludes bodily injury coverage as follows:

We agree with you that the insurance provided under your Commercial Auto

Policy is modified as follows:

PART I - LIABILITY TO OTHERS

A Under the Additional Definitions Used In This Part Only section:

Subsection A.3. is deleted and replaced by the following:

3. Any other person or organization, but only with respect to the legal liability of that person or organization for acts or omissions of any person otherwise

covered under this Part I - Liability To Others. However, **insured** does not include anyone engaged in the business of transporting property by auto for hire that is liable

for your conduct.

B. The following exclusion is added:

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 5 of 7

15. Trucking Use

Coverage under this Part I, including **our** duty to defend, does not apply to an incurred out or any attached trailor while approach, maintained, or used.

**insured auto** or any attached **trailer** while operated, maintained, or used:

a. To carry property or while such property is being loaded or unloaded

from the insured auto or an attached trailer; or

b. In any business or for any business purpose.

3.6. Progressive's policy does not cover Yanez' accident because use of the

Freightliner and tanker trailer fell squarely within the commercial pursuit of hauling frac water

for Desert Oilfield Services, LLC. Yanez had completed delivery of his second load of the day

and was shuttling back from the disposal well to the Cottonwood site to pick-up his third load of

the day. Yanez was using the vehicles for that commercial purpose at the very moment of the

accident. Yanez was operating the vehicles pursuant to Desert Oilfield Service's assignment to

haul a specified load and he was under the direct dispatch of Desert Oilfield. Progressive's policy

plainly stipulates it has no duty to indemnify or defend for claims arising from vehicles used in

any business or for any business purpose.

3.7 Progressive is entitled to declaratory judgment that its non trucking policy

imposes no contractual duty to defend or to indemnify Yanez or Spirit or any other defendant in

any ensuing bodily injury claims arising from the accident.

3.8 The policy in question was issued in New Mexico. Spirit of Texas is a New

Mexico domiciled insured. Generally, Texas law holds that the law of the state which bears the

most significant relationship to the question applies. New Mexico bears the most significant

relation to the interpretation of the policy but there would be no coverage even if Texas law

applied.

3.9 Herrera-Rivas and Martinez, defendants' herein, sued Yanez and defendants

Rapido and Gutierrez in Reeves County on July 25, 2018. See, Miguel Herrera-Rivas and Ryan

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 6 of 7

Martinez v. Luis Angel Barraza-Yanez et al. Cause No. 18-07-22531-CVR (143<sup>rd</sup> District Court,

Reeves County, Texas) (July 25, 2018) (hereinafter the "underlying personal injury action") See

Attachment 1. The underlying personal injury defendants "Rapido" and "Gutierrez" are not

insureds under Progressive's policy. On information belief these defendants may have been sued

merely because the Texas DPS mentions them as the registered owners of the Freightliner or tractor.

3.10 Progressive is entitled to declaratory judgment that it has no duty to defend *Yanez*,

Rapido or Gutierrez in this underlying personal injury action because the underlying state court

petition does not allege facts potentially within Progressive's coverage under the Spirit policy. The

trucking use exclusion stipulates Progressive has no duty to defend or indemnify and coverage does

not apply to any insured auto used in any business or for any business purpose. The business nature

of Yanez use at the time of the accident goes solely to a fundamental issue of coverage and does

not overlap with or engage the truth or falsity of any facts alleged in the underlying case. It has

nothing in common with any actual or potential issues of vicarious liability of any party. Rather

conclusive evidence establishes the vehicles were being so used at the time and there is no

coverage.

REQUEST FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

There exists an actual case or controversy relative to this policy and the personal injury

claims arising from the Yanez accident. Progressive respectfully requests enter of judgment that

the commercial auto policy in question does not extend any bodily injury coverage to the Yanez

vehicles because the claims arise out of business use or business pursuits and mission when the

accident occurred, that Progressive has no duty to defend Yanez, Rapido or Gutierrez in the

pending underlying state court action and that Progressive has no obligation to indemnify or pay

any resulting judgment in that action. Progressive further requests all further ancillary relief as

Case 7:19-cv-00007 Document 1 Filed 01/08/19 Page 7 of 7

may be available to it as a prevailing party under the Texas Uniform Declaratory Judgment Act or the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act including recovery of attorney fees and costs as may be show just and equitable.

Respectfully submitted,

THOMPSON, COE, COUSINS & IRONS, L.L.P.

By: s/Richard M. Mosher\_

Richard M. Mosher
State Bar No. 14580300

rmosher@thompsoncoe.com
Shelby G. Hall
State Bar. No. 24086717

shall@thompsoncoe.com

700 N. Pearl Street, Twenty-Fifth Floor Dallas, Texas 75201-2832 Telephone: (214) 871-8200

Telecopy: (214) 871-8209

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF PROGRESSIVE COMMERCIAL CASUALTY COMPANY